ABATEMENT. See Employers Liability Act, 8.	Page
ACCOUNTS. See Claims, 2.	
ACCRETIONS. See Boundaries.	
ADMIRALTY:	
1. Advance Wages paid to foreign seamen by foreign vessel in foreign country, deductible upon discharge here. Dingley Act, § 6, as amended, inapplicable. Jackson v. S. S. Archimedes	463
2. Damage to Chartered Vessel caused by repairman who contracted and settled with owner, gives charterer no action against repairman for loss of use. Robins Dry Dock Co. v. Flint	303
3. Seamen's Wages. Amount recoverable after voyage begun and before one month's wages earned. The Steel Trader	
4. Towboats. Subject to regulation as common carriers. Stimson Lumber Co. v. Kuykendall	207
ALASKA. See Prohibition Act, 3-4.	
ALIENS. See Constitutional Law, VII, 8.  1. Chinese Exclusion Act, § 6. "Subject" includes only those who by birth or naturalization owe permanent allegiance to government issuing identification certificate. Nagle v. Loi Hoa.  2. Id. Identification Certificate cannot be issued by government to which Chinese owes but temporary allegiance. Id.	475
AMENDMENT. See Limitations, 1-2.	
ARREST. See Criminal Law, 5.	
ASSIGNMENTS. See Bankruptcy, 3-5; Claims, 5-6; Limitations; Patents for Invention, 7.  Suits by Assignees. See Taylor Co. v. Anderson	431
ATTORNEYS' FEES. See Mortgages.	
BANKS. See Bankruptcy.	

BANKRUPTCY: · · · ·	Page
1. Check Deposited in Bank before its bankruptcy. Deposi-	-
tor entitled to proceeds if bank merely agent for collection,	
but ordinary creditor if ownership passes to bank. Equita-	0.40
ble Trust Co. v. Rochling	248
2. Id. Checks "For Account Of" or "Favor Of" not neces-	
sarily taken as constituting bank agent for collection. Id.  Latzko v. Equitable Trust Co	254
3. Bankers' Agreement to Secure Credit for drawer of draft	
on foreign bank does not create equitable assignment of their	
deposit with drawee in favor of the drawer. Equitable	250
Trust Co. v. First Nat'l Bank	339
4. Id. Trust Not Impressed on funds paid bankers for such agreement. Id.	
5. Words "Pay from balance against this check," do not im-	
port an assignment. <i>Id</i> .	
BONDS. See Mortgages.	
BOUNDARIES:	
1. New Mexico-Texas line fixed as the middle of main chan-	
nel of Rio Grande as it flowed in 1850. New Mexico v.	
Texas	279
2. Id. Subsequent Accretions did not affect boundary. Id.	
3. Acquiescence in Boundary. Id.	
4. Definition of Boundary in constitution of State at time of	
her admission. Id.	
BRIBERY. See United States, 1.	
BURDEN OF PROOF. See Taxation, I, 6.	
CANCELLATION. See United States, 2.	
CARRIERS. See Interstate Commerce Acts; Railroads.	
Towboats. Liabilities, and subjection to public rate-regu-	
lation as common carriers. Stimson Lumber Co. v. Kuyken-	
dall	207
CERTIORARI. See Jurisdiction; Procedure, 8.	
CHARTER. See Admiralty, 2.	
CHINESE. See Aliens; Constitutional Law, VII, 8.	
CITIZENS:	
Exclusion of Citizen of Chinese race from public schools devoted to "white" pupils. Gong Lum v. Rice	78

CLAIMS: Page
1. Contracts With Fleet Corporation. Claims arising out of, not within Comptroller General's jurisdiction. Skinner & Eddu v. McCarl.
Eddy v. McCarl
6. Rev. Stats. § 3477, forbidding assignments, applicable to claims for patent infringements prior to Act of 1918, but not those committed since, when assigned with patent. Id.
COMPTROLLER GENERAL. See Claims, 1.
CONFORMITY ACT. See Evidence, 5.  Suit by Assignee. See Taylor Co. v. Anderson
CONSTITUTIONAL LAW:
I. Miscellaneous, p. 651.
II. Commerce Clause, p. 652.
III. Contract Clause, p. 652.
IV. Full Faith and Credit Clause, p. 652.
V. Fourth Amendment, p. 652.
VI. Fifth Amendment, p. 652.
VII. Fourteenth Amendment, p. 653.
California Constitution. See Taxation, II, 6.
New Mexico Constitution. See Boundaries.
I. Miscellaneous.
1 Construction of Chart Constitution and Chartest a social
1. Construction of State Constitution and Statutes to avoid serious questions under Federal Constitution. Richmond Screw Anchor Co. v. United States
serious questions under Federal Constitution. Richmond

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—Continued.	Page
3. Attacking Constitutionality. Plaintiff must allege facts	
showing clearly unconstitutional effect on himself, and not	
rely on injury to others. Aetna Insurance Co. v. Hyde	
4. Income from Federal Bonds, not taxable by State. North-	
western Mutual Insurance Co. v. Wisconsin	
5. Interstate Boundary. Effect of agreement and acquiescence between United States and one State while other was a territory, and of designation of boundary in latter's constitution at time of her admission to statehood. New Mexico v Texas.	
II. Commerce Clause.	
Local Transportation of Oil, after importation and storage at seaboard, held intrastate commerce. Atl. Coast Line R. R. v. Standard Oil Co	
III. Contract Clause.	
Contract of Tax Exemption. Decision of State Court giver	ı
great weight in determining whether exemption intended by	
state statute. Millsaps College v. City of Jackson	
IV. Full Faith and Credit Clause.	
<ol> <li>Judgment of State Court shall be given in courts of every other State, the same credit, validity and effect which it has in State where rendered. Roche v. McDonald</li> <li>Id. Erroneous Judgment Conclusive. Id.</li> </ol>	3
V. Fourth Amendment. See Prohibition Act.	
1. Search and Seizure by State Officers, without warrant of	
probable cause, in aid of federal prosecution, and use in evidence of liquor so seized, violates Fourth and Fifth Amend	
ments. Gambino v. United States	
2. Search Warrant must describe things to be seized. Mar	
ron v. United States	
3. Arrest. Seizure of books and papers as incident to. Id	
5. Arrest. Delaute of books and papers as medicine to. The	•
VI. Fifth Amendment. See V. supra; Philippine Islands.	
1. Illegal Taxes. Validity of territorial law forbidding suit	
to enjoin. Smallwood v. Gallardo	
2. Gift Tax; §§ 319-324, Revenue Act, 1924. Constitution	
ality of, as applied to gifts made before its provisions came	
before Congress. Blodgett v. Holden	. 142

VII. Fourteenth Amendment.	Page
1. Regulation of Towboat Rates by State not repugnant to Due Process Clause by preventing shippers from securing lower rates by private contract with carriers. Stimson Lumber Co. v. Kuykendall	207
<ol> <li>Foreign Insurance Companies. Power of State to prohibit doing business until licensed and compliance with prescribed conditions. Bothwell v. Buckbee, Mears Co</li> <li>Id. Use of State Courts. State's right to refuse to enforce contract when made by foreign unlicensed company in</li> </ol>	274
another State, but covering business and property within her borders. $Id$ .	
4. State-Made Insurance Rates not unconstitutional because aggregate collections will not yield reasonable profit or just compensation to all companies engaged in affected business. Aetna Insurance Co. v. Hyde	440
5. <i>Id</i> . Each company affected must show by specific facts a confiscating effect on itself; cannot rely upon effect on others. <i>Id</i> .	
6. Id. Joint Suit, by all companies affected, not maintainable on allegations that aggregate collections of all under rates are unreasonably low without showing any joint interest among them. Id.	
7. Id. Set aside as confiscatory only in clear cases. Id. 8. Public Schools. Exclusion of American-born Chinese from "white" public schools not repugnant to equal protection when equal educational facilities are afforded in "colored" schools. Gong Lum v. Rice	<b>7</b> 8
CONSTRUCTION. See Statutes, 2-5.	
CONTRACTS. See Constitutional Law, III; VII, 3; Claims, 1, 4; Philippine Islands.	
<ol> <li>Legal Tender waived by conduct. Simmons v. Swan</li> <li>Id. Further Time for in case of surprise. Id.</li> <li>Id. Contract of Sale. Repudiation of, excusing legal</li> </ol>	113
tender. Id. 4. Illegal Contracts. Agreement to procure franchise for	
railroad, and by stockholder to secure train service, held not contrary to public policy. Steele v. Drummond	199
5. Anticipated Profits included in measure of damages in suit to recover for cancellation of contract for lumber to be used in construction of boats for Emergency Fleet Corporation by	4F7 1
private party. Ingram-Day Lumber Co. v. McLouth 4 83583°—28——42	±/1

CONTRACTS—Continued.	Page
6. Contract to Supply Lumber to government contractor, held independent of continued existence of contract between Fleet Corporation and contractor. Id.	
7. Contract for Benefit of Third Party. See Robins Dry Dock Co. v. Flint	303
CORPORATIONS. See Constitutional Law, VII, 2; Evidence, 3; Philippine Islands; Taxation, I, 1-2; II, 3-4.	
COSTS. See Procedure.	
COURTS. See Evidence, 5; Jurisdiction; Procedure.	
CRIMINAL LAW:	
1. Copy of Information. Right to in Porto Rico. Segurola v. United States	
2. Id. Waiver of Right. Id.	
<ol> <li>Privileged Communication. Refusal on cross-examination to require police officers to name informant, not prejudicial error. Id.</li> </ol>	
4. Lack of Warrant or Probable Cause. Objection too late after liquor admitted in evidence. Id.	
5. Arrest Without Warrant for crime committed in presence of officers. Marron v. United States	
6. Seizure of Papers, as incident to arrest. Id.	
7. Search Warrant, requisites of. Id.	
8. Search and Seizure Without Warrant or Probable Cause, by state officers in aid of federal prosecution violates Constitution; liquor seized inadmissible in evidence. Gambino	
v. United States	310
9. Probation. Not grantable after service of sentence begins.	
United States v. Murray	347
10. Costs Against State. See Procedure	
DAMAGES. See Admiralty, 2; Contracts, 5; Employers Liability Act, 9; Interstate Commerce Acts, II, 2.	
DEATH. See Employers Liability Act.	
DINGLEY ACT. See Admiralty, 1.	
DIRECTOR GENERAL. See Limitations, 3.	
DIVIDENDS. See Taxation, I, 1-2.	

EMERGENCY FLEET CORPORATION. See Contracts, 5-6; United States, 3-4.
1. Distinct Entity from United States. Skinner & Eddy v. McCarl
EMINENT DOMAIN. See Claims.
EMPLOYERS LIABILITY ACT:
1. Negligence of railroad in not preventing murder of one employee by another. Atlantic Coast Line v. Southwell 64  2. Station Platform part of "works" within meaning of § 1.  Missouri Pacific v. Aeby
of platform. Id.
<ol> <li>Negligence essential to liability. Id.</li> <li>Id. Evidence of Negligence essential to valid judgment.</li> <li>Gulf etc. R. R. v. Wells</li></ol>
7. Death. Cause of Action for accrues to one only of the classes of beneficiaries named in statute, not to all collectively. Chicago, etc. R. R. v. Wells-Dickey Trust Co 161 8. Id. Abatement of Cause of Action, where person originally entitled dies before recovery of compensation. Id. 9. Damages. Future Benefits lost by dependant of deceased employee should be reduced to present value. Gulf etc. Ry.
v. Moser
EQUITY. See Jurisdiction, III, IV.
EQUITY RULES. See Jurisdiction, II, 4; III, IV.
ESTATE TAX. See Evidence, 4; Taxation, I, 6.
ESTOPPEL. See Patents for Invention, 5.
EVIDENCE. See Criminal Law, 3-4; Employers Liability Act, 5-6.
1. Authentication of Documents by official in custody. New Mexico v. Texas

EVIDENCE—Continued.	Page
may be resorted to. Barber Asphalt Paving Co. v. Standard Asphalt Co	372
3. Silence. Inference against corporation from failure of its officers to testify. Mammoth Oil Co. v. United States	13
4. Transfer of Property in Contemplation of Death. Evi-	
dence held sufficient to go to jury. Wickwire v. Reinecke  5. Conformity Acts. Rules of Evidence of state court appli-	101
cable in District Court. Leach & Co. v. Peirson	120
6. Self-serving Letter, inadmissible. Id.	
EXCESS PROFITS. See Taxation, I, 5.	
<b>EXEMPTION.</b> See Taxation, II, I-2.	
FEDERAL CONTROL. See Limitations, 3.	
FEES. See Mortgages.	
FIRE INSURANCE. See Constitutional Law, VII.	
FORECLOSURE. See Mortgages.	
FRAUD. See Navy; United States, 1-2.	
IMMIGRATION. See Aliens.	
INDIANS. See Taxation, I, 3.	
INFORMATION. See Criminal Law, 1-2.	
INFRINGEMENT. See Patents for Invention, 4, 6, 7.	
INJUNCTION. See Jurisdiction, IV, 1, 4.	
Illegal Taxes. No vested right to enjoin collection or maintain pending suit. Smallwood v. Gallardo	56
INSTRUCTIONS:	
On Contributory Negligence. See Kansas etc. Ry. v. Ellzey	236
INSURANCE COMPANIES. See Constitutional Law, VII, 2-4; Taxation, II, 4; Parties; Philippine Islands.	
INTERNATIONAL LAW. See Aliens; Boundaries.	
INTERSTATE COMMERCE ACTS. See Procedure, 4, 5.	
I. Carrier and Shipper.	
Intrastate Rates, applicable to transportation of oil within State for purpose of local distribution and sale after importation and storage in tanks at seaboard. Atlantic Coast Line v. Standard Oil Co	257

II. Powers and Procedure of Commission.	Page
1. Joint Through Rate over Domestic and Foreign Railroads. Jurisdiction to determine reasonableness. News Syndicate Co. v. New York Central	<b>17</b> 9
2. Id. Damages. Jurisdiction to award, in absence of finding that charges for transportation in United States were unreasonable. Id.	
3. Id. Suit on Order can be maintained solely against United States carrier. Id.	
4. Switch Connections With Side Tracks of private shipper. Power to require under par. 9, § 1, of Interstate Commerce Act. Cleveland etc. Ry. v. United States	404
5. Id. Extension to Industry on Another Railroad by side track with which connection is sought, not extension of railroad within pars. 18–21, § 1, Transportation Act. Id.	.*
6. Id. Findings of Public Convenience and Necessity. Not required to make under par. 9, § 1, of Interstate Commerce Act. Id.	
7. Id. Proceeding Under § 1, par. 9, not precluded by order of state court based upon opinion that case was within pars. 18-21, § 1, Transportation Act. Id.	
8. Id. Right to Connection. Shipper may be entitled to under par. 9, even though already connected with another interstate railroad. Id.	
9. Id. Shipment Over Line to be Connected With not necessary under par. 9. Id.	
10. Telegraph Companies. Extension of Commission's jurisdiction over by Act of 1910, did not affect allowance of reduced rates to government by Post Roads Act. Emergency Fleet Corporation v. Western Union	415
11. Costs. Exemption from in suits on reparation orders. See St. Louis etc. R. R. v. Spiller	156
INTOXICATING LIQUOR. See Criminal Law, 4; Prohibition Act.	
JUDICIAL NOTICE. See Procedure, 16.	
JUDGMENTS. See Constitutional Law, IV, 1-2.  Amendment after term. See Jurisdiction. II, (1).	

<ol> <li>Loss of Original Jurisdiction through statute passed after decree rendered, necessitates reversal on appeal with direction to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction. Id.</li> <li>Money Paid Into Court. Disposition of, where court had no jurisdiction of case. Id.</li> <li>Jurisdiction of this Court:         <ol> <li>Generally.</li> <li>Amendment of Judgment. Inclusion of costs in judgment approved by Justice writing opinion, is act of the Court, beyond recall after expiration of Term. Fairmont Creamery Co. v. Minnesota.</li> <li>Costs. Awarded against States in criminal and civil cases.</li> <li>Amendment of Mandate. Clerical errors in mandate corrected after expiration of Term.</li> </ol> </li> </ol>	JURISDICTION:	ı	Page
(1) Generally, p, 658. (2) Over District Courts, p. 659. (3) Over State Courts, p. 659. (3) Over State Courts, p. 659. III. Jurisdiction of Circuit Court of Appeals, p. 659. IV. Jurisdiction of District Courts, p. 659. V. Jurisdiction of Court of Claims, p. 660. VI. Jurisdiction of District Court of Porto Rico, p. 660. VII. Jurisdiction of State Courts, p. 660. See Evidence; Procedure; Rules. Amendment of Judgment. See II, (1). Assignees. See IV, 9. Error or Certiorari. See II, (3), 1-2. Equity. See II, (1), 4; III; IV, 1-3. Federal Question. See II, (3); IV, 4-5. Injunction. See III, 2; VI. Rehearing. See VII.  I. Generally.  1. To "Maintain" Suit, is to uphold, continue on foot, keep from collapse, suit already begun. Smallwood v. Gallardo 5 2. Loss of Original Jurisdiction through statute passed after decree rendered, necessitates reversal on appeal with direction to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction. Id. 3. Money Paid Into Court. Disposition of, where court had no jurisdiction of case. Id.  II. Jurisdiction of this Court: (1) Generally. 1. Amendment of Judgment. Inclusion of costs in judgment approved by Justice writing opinion, is act of the Court, beyond recall after expiration of Term. Fairmont Creamery Co. v. Minnesota	I. Generally, p. 658.		
<ul> <li>III. Jurisdiction of Circuit Court of Appeals, p. 659.</li> <li>IV. Jurisdiction of District Courts, p. 659.</li> <li>V. Jurisdiction of Court of Claims, p. 660.</li> <li>VI. Jurisdiction of District Court of Porto Rico, p. 660.</li> <li>VII. Jurisdiction of State Courts, p. 660.</li> <li>See Evidence; Procedure; Rules.</li> <li>Amendment of Judgment. See II, (1).</li> <li>Assignees. See IV, 9.</li> <li>Error or Certiorari. See II, (3), 1-2.</li> <li>Equity. See II, (1), 4; III; IV, 1-3.</li> <li>Federal Question. See II, (3); IV, 4-5.</li> <li>Injunction. See III, 2; VI.</li> <li>Rehearing. See VII.</li> <li>I. Generally.</li> <li>1. To "Maintain" Suit, is to uphold, continue on foot, keep from collapse, suit already begun. Smallwood v. Gallardo</li> <li>2. Loss of Original Jurisdiction through statute passed after decree rendered, necessitates reversal on appeal with direction to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction. Id.</li> <li>3. Money Paid Into Court. Disposition of, where court had no jurisdiction of case. Id.</li> <li>II. Jurisdiction of this Court:  (1) Generally.</li> <li>1. Amendment of Judgment. Inclusion of costs in judgment approved by Justice writing opinion, is act of the Court, beyond recall after expiration of Term. Fairmont Creamery Co. v. Minnesota</li></ul>	<ul><li>(1) Generally, p,</li><li>(2) Over District</li></ul>	658. t Courts, p. 659.	
<ul> <li>IV. Jurisdiction of District Courts, p. 659.</li> <li>V. Jurisdiction of Court of Claims, p. 660.</li> <li>VI. Jurisdiction of District Court of Porto Rico, p. 660.</li> <li>VII. Jurisdiction of State Courts, p. 660.</li> <li>See Evidence; Procedure; Rules.  Amendment of Judgment. See II, (1).  Assignees. See IV, 9.  Error or Certiorari. See II, (3), 1-2.  Equity. See II, (1), 4; III; IV, 1-3.  Federal Question. See II, (3); IV, 4-5.  Injunction. See III, 2; VI.  Rehearing. See VII.</li> <li>I. Generally.  1. To "Maintain" Suit, is to uphold, continue on foot, keep from collapse, suit already begun. Smallwood v. Gallardo 5  2. Loss of Original Jurisdiction through statute passed after decree rendered, necessitates reversal on appeal with direction to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction. Id.  3. Money Paid Into Court. Disposition of, where court had no jurisdiction of case. Id.</li> <li>II. Jurisdiction of this Court:  (1) Generally.  1. Amendment of Judgment. Inclusion of costs in judgment approved by Justice writing opinion, is act of the Court, beyond recall after expiration of Term. Fairmont Creamery Co. v. Minnesota</li></ul>	, ,		
V. Jurisdiction of Court of Claims, p. 660.  VI. Jurisdiction of District Court of Porto Rico, p. 660.  VII. Jurisdiction of State Courts, p. 660.  See Evidence; Procedure; Rules.  Amendment of Judgment. See II, (1).  Assignees. See IV, 9.  Error or Certiorari. See II, (3), 1-2.  Equity. See II, (1), 4; III; IV, 1-3.  Federal Question. See II, (3); IV, 4-5.  Injunction. See III, 2; VI.  Rehearing. See VII.  I. Generally.  1. To "Maintain" Suit, is to uphold, continue on foot, keep from collapse, suit already begun. Smallwood v. Gallardo 5  2. Loss of Original Jurisdiction through statute passed after decree rendered, necessitates reversal on appeal with direction to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction. Id.  3. Money Paid Into Court. Disposition of, where court had no jurisdiction of case. Id.  II. Jurisdiction of this Court:  (1) Generally.  1. Amendment of Judgment. Inclusion of costs in judgment approved by Justice writing opinion, is act of the Court, beyond recall after expiration of Term. Fairmont Creamery Co. v. Minnesota			
VI. Jurisdiction of District Court of Porto Rico, p. 660.  VII. Jurisdiction of State Courts, p. 660.  See Evidence; Procedure; Rules.  Amendment of Judgment. See II, (1).  Assignees. See IV, 9.  Error or Certiorari. See II, (3), 1-2.  Equity. See II, (1), 4; III; IV, 1-3.  Federal Question. See III, (3); IV, 4-5.  Injunction. See III, 2; VI.  Rehearing. See VII.  I. Generally.  1. To "Maintain" Suit, is to uphold, continue on foot, keep from collapse, suit already begun. Smallwood v. Gallardo 5  2. Loss of Original Jurisdiction through statute passed after decree rendered, necessitates reversal on appeal with direction to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction. Id.  3. Money Paid Into Court. Disposition of, where court had no jurisdiction of case. Id.  II. Jurisdiction of this Court:  (1) Generally.  1. Amendment of Judgment. Inclusion of costs in judgment approved by Justice writing opinion, is act of the Court, beyond recall after expiration of Term. Fairmont Creamery Co. v. Minnesota		· <del>-</del>	
VII. Jurisdiction of State Courts, p. 660.  See Evidence; Procedure; Rules.  Amendment of Judgment. See II, (1).  Assignees. See IV, 9.  Error or Certiorari. See II, (3), 1-2.  Equity. See II, (1), 4; III; IV, 1-3.  Federal Question. See II, (3); IV, 4-5.  Injunction. See III, 2; VI.  Rehearing. See VII.  I. Generally.  1. To "Maintain" Suit, is to uphold, continue on foot, keep from collapse, suit already begun. Smallwood v. Gallardo 5  2. Loss of Original Jurisdiction through statute passed after decree rendered, necessitates reversal on appeal with direction to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction. Id.  3. Money Paid Into Court. Disposition of, where court had no jurisdiction of case. Id.  II. Jurisdiction of this Court:  (1) Generally.  1. Amendment of Judgment. Inclusion of costs in judgment approved by Justice writing opinion, is act of the Court, beyond recall after expiration of Term. Fairmont Creamery Co. v. Minnesota		· -	
<ol> <li>To "Maintain" Suit, is to uphold, continue on foot, keep from collapse, suit already begun. Smallwood v. Gallardo</li> <li>Loss of Original Jurisdiction through statute passed after decree rendered, necessitates reversal on appeal with direction to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction. Id.</li> <li>Money Paid Into Court. Disposition of, where court had no jurisdiction of case. Id.</li> <li>Jurisdiction of this Court:         <ol> <li>Generally.</li> <li>Amendment of Judgment. Inclusion of costs in judgment approved by Justice writing opinion, is act of the Court, beyond recall after expiration of Term. Fairmont Creamery Co. v. Minnesota</li></ol></li></ol>	VII. Jurisdiction of State of See Evidence; Proced Amendment of Judgm Assignees. See IV, 9 Error or Certiorari. Equity. See II, (1), Federal Question. See III,	Courts, p. 660. dure; Rules. nent. See II, (1). See II, (3), 1-2. 4; III; IV, 1-3. ne II, (3); IV, 4-5.	
<ol> <li>Generally.</li> <li>Amendment of Judgment. Inclusion of costs in judgment approved by Justice writing opinion, is act of the Court, beyond recall after expiration of Term. Fairmont Creamery Co. v. Minnesota</li></ol>	1. To "Maintain" Sui from collapse, suit alre 2. Loss of Original Jun decree rendered, necess tion to dismiss for lace 3. Money Paid Into C	ady begun. Smallwood v. Gallardo risdiction through statute passed after sitates reversal on appeal with direck of jurisdiction. Id. ourt. Disposition of, where court had	56
1. Amendment of Judgment. Inclusion of costs in judgment approved by Justice writing opinion, is act of the Court, beyond recall after expiration of Term. Fairmont Creamery Co. v. Minnesota	II. Jurisdiction of this Co	urt:	
4. Equity Rule 75b. Power of this Court to enact; application to Circuit Court of Appeals; penalties to be inflicted,	1. Amendment of Junement approved by J. Court, beyond recall Creamery Co. v. Minn 2. Costs. Awarded ag Id. 3. Amendment of Marrected after expiration St. Louis etc. R. R. v. 4. Equity Rule 75b.	ustice writing opinion, is act of the after expiration of Term. Fairmont esota	

11. Jurisdiction of this Court—Continued.	Page
mony and reduce to narrative form as required. Barbe Asphalt Co. v. Standard Asphalt Co	
(2) Over District Courts.	
Scope of Review where jury trial waived in writing. Lew ellyn v. Electric Reduction Co	
(3) Over State Courts. See Procedure.	
1. Error Under Judicial Code, § 237 (a) will not lie upon ground that state court failed to give full faith and credit to judgment of court of another State. Roche v. McDonald 2. Certiorari. Error papers may be treated as petition for and writ issued thereon. Id.	t . <b>44</b> 9
3. Federal Question. Error, cause reviewable by. Millsap	8
College v. City of Jackson	
4. Federal Question must have been expressly or necessarily decided by state court of last resort before reviewed here by error. Mellon v. O'Neil	y Y
5. Federal Question. Not presented in attack on state insurance rates, where plaintiffs, not alleging joint interest, alleg rates deprive them of sufficient aggregate collections, withou alleging confiscation as to any particular company. Aeta Insurance Co. v. Hyde	e t a
III. Jurisdiction of Circuit Court of Appeals. See Procedure	
1. Equity Rule 75b. Affirmance of decree for failure to comply with, held too strict a penalty to inflict in view of previous indulgence in that respect by the court. Barbe Asphalt Co. v. Standard Asphalt Co	o f r
2. Injunction. Decree of permanent injunction prematur	e.
on appeal from preliminary injunction Hammond v. Schapp	
Bus Line	. 164
Hammond v. Farina Bus Line	. 173
IV. Jurisdiction of District Courts.	
1. Equity Jurisdiction to enjoin tax where legal remed	.,
doubtful. Hopkins v. Southern Calif. Telephone Co	. 393
2. Equity Rule 75b. Power to conform transcript with when remitted for that purpose after appeal of case. Barbe	7*
Asphalt Co. v. Standard Asphalt Co	
3. Id. Expiration of Term at which decree was entered does not affect power to act under rule. Id.	
4. Federal Question confers jurisdiction in suit to enjoin stat	
tax though validity under state law also questioned. Hop kins v. Southern Calif. Telephone Co	

; c

IV. Jurisdiction of District Courts—Continued. Page
5. Id. Federal and Local Questions all open for decision. Id.
6. Probation cannot be granted after execution of sentence
has begun. United States v. Murray 347
7. Collateral Attack upon power of shipper, under state law, to build side track, not permitted in suit to set aside Inter-
state Commerce Commission order requiring switch connection to be made. Cleveland etc. Ry. v. United States 404 8. Opinion Expressing Grounds of Decision necessary in cases
of this character. Id.
9. Suits by Assignees. State statutory regulation of, applicable in federal courts. Taylor Co. v. Anderson
V. Jurisdiction of Court of Claims. See Claims; Patents for Inventions.
Lever Act. Compensation for Property appropriated under.  No jurisdiction of suit to recover. Atwater & Co. v. United  States
VI. Jurisdiction of District Court for Porto Rico.
1. Suits to Enjoin Taxes. Jurisdiction over pending suits
destroyed by Act of March 4, 1927. Smallwood v. Gallardo. 56 Gallardo v. Santini Fertilizer Co
VII. Jurisdiction of State Courts.
Rehearing. Granting of, vacates previous opinion and judgment; sets whole matter at large. Hopkins v. Southern Calif. Telephone Co
JURY. See Evidence, 4; Jurisdiction, II, (2); Negligence, 1.
LAST CLEAR CHANCE. See Negligence, 2.
LEASE. See Navy; Taxation, I, 3; United States, 1.
LEVER ACT. See Claims, 3-4; Jurisdiction, V.
LIMITATIONS:
1. Amended Declaration. Filed after statute has run.  Barred where plaintiff originally counted on contract with itself and amends to sue as assignee under § 18, Illinois Practice Act. Taylor Co. v. Anderson

LIMITATIONS—Continued. Page
<ol> <li>Id. Amendment not one of form which could relate back to beginning, but sets up different cause of action. Id.</li> <li>Substitution of Successor in action under § 206, Transportation Act, against Director General, held commencement</li> </ol>
of new proceeding barred by running of applicable state statute. Mellon v. Arkansas Land & Lumber Co 460
MANDATE. See Procedure, 3.
MORTGAGES:
<ol> <li>Foreclosure. Compensation of Trustee and Counsel payable out of subject matter in addition to payment of bondholders. Mercantile Trust Co. v. Road District</li></ol>
MOTOR VEHICLES. See Railroads.
Regulation of use of Street.         See Hammond v. Schappi Bus           Line
NAVY. See United States.
Petroleum Reserves. Leases and contracts respecting held fraudulent and unlawful. Mammoth Oil Co. v. United States
NEGLIGENCE. See Employers Liability Act, 1-6.
1. Due Care. When not left to jury, but determined by Court. Baltimore & Ohio R. R. v. Goodman
2. Doctrine of Last Clear Chance inapplicable in case of
joint negligence. Kansas etc. Ry. v. Ellzey
NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENTS. See Bankruptcy.
NEW MEXICO. See Boundaries.
OBITER DICTUM. See Opinions.
OFFICERS. See Prohibition Act, 5-7; United States, 1.
OIL LANDS. See Navy.
OPINIONS:
1. Duty of Lower Courts to Render. Cleveland etc. Ry. v. United States

OPINIONS—Continued.	age
2. Obiter Dictum. Of two reasons given for same decision, both are authoritative. Richmond Screw Anchor Co. v. United States	331
PARTIES. See Employers Liability Act, 7-8.	
1. Party in Interest. Insurance Companies whose rates, fixed by state authority, afford just compensation, cannot attack upon ground that as to others the rates are confiscatory. Aetna Insurance Co. v. Hyde	440
PATENTS FOR INVENTIONS:	
<ol> <li>Invention, evidenced by public demand for and commercial success of article. Temco Electric Co. v. Apco Mfg. Co</li> <li>Construction of Claim by reference to specifications and drawings. Id.</li> </ol>	319
3. Id. Liberal Construction. Id.	
4. Improvement. Appropriation of Basic Patent by, constitutes infringement. Id.	
5. Id. Estoppel. Applicant for second patent as improvement "over" first, may insist on prior invention against one who secured patent to improvement through interference proceedings. Id.	
6. Id. Shock Absorber Patent held valid and infringed. Id.	
7. Assignability of claims for infringement by private parties and the United States. Richmond Screw Anchor Co. v. United States	331
PAYMENT INTO COURT. See Jurisdiction, I, 3.	
PERSONAL INJURIES. See Employers Liability Act; Negligence; Railroads.	
PHILIPPINE ISLANDS. See Taxation, II, 3-4.  Foreign Corporations. Liberty Secured By Organic Act embraces right to make contracts, accumulate property and do business outside islands, beyond its jurisdiction, without governmental regulation. Compañía General de Tabacos de Filipinas v. Collector	87
1 wipiliae 1. Comocootti iiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiii	

PLEADING:	Page
Insurance Rates. Facts relied on to enjoin enforcement of, must be specifically set forth in complaint. Aetna Insurance Co. v. Hyde	440
PORTO RICO. See Criminal Law; Taxation, II, 7.  Suits to Enjoin Taxes. See Smallwood v. Gallardo  Gallardo v. Santini Fertilizer Co	56 62
POST ROADS ACT. See Interstate Commerce Acts, II, 10; United States, 3.	
PROBATION. See Jurisdiction, IV, 6; Criminal Law, 9.	
PROCEDURE. See Jurisdiction; Rules.  For other matters related to Procedure, see: Admiralty; Constitutional Law; Evidence; Injunctions; Interstate Commerce Acts; Limitations; Opinions; Patents for Inventions; Statutes.	
<ol> <li>Amendment of Judgment. Costs. Inclusion of costs in judgment, approved by Justice writing opinion, is act of the Court, beyond recall after expiration of Term. Fairmont Creamery Co. v. Minnesota</li></ol>	<b>7</b> 0
cases. Id.  3. Amendment of Mandate. Clerical errors corrected after expiration of Term. St. Louis etc. R. R. v. Spiller  4. Costs. Exemption from under Act to Regulate Commerce inapplicable in suit to declare lien based on judgment recovered from railroad on a reparation order. Id.	156
5. Id. Rule 29 (3). Allowed against defendant in error, appellee or respondent, when judgment or decree below is reversed in part and affirmed in part. Id.	
<ul> <li>6. Equity Rule 75b. Power of this Court to enact; application to Circuit Court of Appeals; penalties to be inflicted, including costs and counsel fees, for failure to condense testimony and reduce to narrative form as required. Barber Asphalt Co. v. Standard Asphalt Co.</li> <li>7. Id. Excepting Clause, allowing reproduction of testimony in exact words of witness, applicable only to parts necessary to literally reproduce to correctly understand. Id.</li> <li>8. Scope of Review in certiorari case limited to question</li> </ul>	372
raised in petition. Steele v. Drummond	199

PROCEDURE—Continued.	Page
9. Id. Jury Waived Case. Limited to sufficiency of facts specially found to support judgment and to rulings excepted to and presented by bill of exceptions. Ingram-Day Lumber Co. v. McLouth	
10. Question Not Raised Below affecting jurisdiction of Board of Tax Appeals, not considered. Blair v. Oesterlein Machine Co	
11. Federal and Local Questions. Former not to be considered where latter may dispose of case in lower federal courts and ignored by them. Hammond v. Schappi Bus Line	164
record. <i>Id</i> . <i>Id</i> .  13. <i>Disposition of Cause</i> , where lower courts have not found facts and decided local questions. <i>Id</i> . <i>Id</i> .	
14. Certified Question. Not Specific, need not be answered.  News Syndicate Co. v. New York Central R. R	179
priety of not determined when case must be dismissed for want of jurisdiction in trial court. Gallardo v. Santini Fertilizer Co	62
16. Judicial Notice not taken of statute of another State not set up or judicially noticed in state court below. Bothwell v. Buckbee, Mears Co	274
17. Loss of Jurisdiction of trial court by passage of statute after rendition of decree enjoining tax, necessitates reversal and repayment to plaintiff of money paid into court. Smallwood v. Gallardo	56
PROHIBITION ACT. See Constitutional Law, V; Criminal	
Law. 1. Search Warrant must describe things to be seized. Marron v. United States	192
<ol> <li>Arrest. Seizure of books and papers as incident to. Id.</li> <li>Search of Dwelling in Alaska forbidden by National Pro-</li> </ol>	
hibition Act. United States v. Berkeness	149
<ul> <li>Id.</li> <li>5. Officers. "Any Officer of the Law" in § 26, refers only to federal officers. Gambino v. United States</li> </ul>	310

PROHIBITION ACT—Continued.	Page
6. State Officers when acting independently of federal officers, held not agents of the United States. Id.	
7. Id. Judicial Notice taken that state troopers acted under belief they were required by law to aid in enforcing federal act. Id.	
PUBLIC LANDS. See Navy.	
PUBLIC POLICY. See Contracts, 4.	
RAILROADS. See Employers Liability Act; Interstate Commerce Acts.	
Railroad Crossing. Assumption of risk by motor driver. Baltimore & Ohio R. R. v. Goodman	66
RATES. See Admiralty, 4; Interstate Commerce Acts; United States. 3-4.	
Regulation of Rates of Insurance Companies. See Aetna Ins.	440
REHEARING. See Jurisdiction, VII.	
REPEAL. See Statutes, 2-3.	
RULES. Revised rules of the Court. See ante, p. 595.	
SALES. See Contracts.	
SCHOOLS. See Constitutional Law, VII, 8.	
SEAMEN. See Admiralty.	
SEARCH AND SEIZURE. See Criminal Law; Constitutional Law, V, 1; Prohibition Act, 1-4.	
SHIPPING BOARD. See Emergency Fleet Corporation.	
STATUTES.	
1. Constitutionality, doubt of to be avoided in construction.  Richmond Screw Anchor Co. v. United States  Hopkins v. Southern Calif. Telephone Co	393
of later enactment. Richmond Screw Anchor Co. v. United States	
3. Implied Repeal of Special Provisions by later general act.  United States v. Berkeness	146
4. Practical Construction, determining meaning. Emergency Fleet Corporation v. Western Union	415

STATUTES—Continued.	Page
5. Id. Legislative approval of, by reënactment of statutory provision. Nagle v. Loi Hoa	475
STIPULATION. See Taxation, I, 7.	
STOCKHOLDERS. See Taxation, I, 1-2.	
SUBSTITUTION. See Limitations.	
TAXATION. See Constitutional Law, I, 4; III; VI, 1-2; Evidence, 4; Injunction; Jurisdiction, VI, 1-2.	
I. Federal Taxation.	
<ol> <li>Income Tax. Dividends paid in 1917. When taxable to shareholder at 1916 rates. Mason v. Routzahn</li> <li>Id. Date of Payment is date of distribution within meaning of 1916 Act, § 31 (b). Id.</li> </ol>	175
<ul> <li>3. Income From Lease of Indian Lands, taxable under Revenue Acts of 1916, et seq. Heiner v. Colonial Trust Co</li> <li>4. Deduction of Loss resulting from prepayment on contract</li> </ul>	
with irresponsible person as "loss sustained during taxable year," Revenue Act of 1918, § 234, Sub-sec. 4, and not under Sub-sec. 5. Lewellyn v. Electric Reduction Co	243
<ul> <li>6. Transfer in Contemplation of Death. Decision of Commissioner not conclusive, but burden of proving it erroneous on party suing to recover tax. Wickwire v. Reinecke</li> <li>7. Suit to Recover Tax. Objection that ground of recovery</li> </ul>	101
was not specified in claim for refund previously filed, may be waived by stipulation. <i>Tucker</i> v. <i>Alexander</i>	228
lein Machine Co	220
2. Contract of Tax Exemption. Decision of state court given	
great weight in determining whether state statute intended, <i>Id</i> ,	

II. State and Territorial Taxation—Continued.	Page
3. Foreign Corporation in Philippine Islands subject to taxing power, but power limited under Organic Act. Compañía General de Tabacos de Filipinas v. Collector	87
4. Id. Insurance Premiums. Taxing of, when policies entered into abroad. Id.	
5. Annual License Tax, measured on income derived in part from United States bonds, void pro tanto. Northwestern Mutual Insurance Co. v. Wisconsin	136
6. Double Taxation. Under California Constitution, where telephone company paid state tax measured by gross receipts on equipment leased, the lessor was not subject to county and municipal taxes assessed against leased property.	
Hopkins v. Southern Calif. Telephone Co	
Gallardo v. Santini Fertilizer Co	56 62
8. Compensation of Trustee and Counsel in foreclosure of mortgage of special assessments to secure improvement bonds.	-
Mercantile Trust Co. v. Road District	117
TELEGRAPH COMPANIES. See Interstate Commerce Acts, II, 10.	
Government Rate Messages. See United States, 3-4.	
TELEPHONE COMPANIES. See Taxation, $\Pi$ , 6.	
TENDER. See Contracts.	
TERRITORIAL LAWS. See Constitutional Law, VI, 1; Taxation.	
TESTIMONY:	
Condensation of, in Equity cases. See Procedure.	
TEXAS. See Boundaries.	
TOWBOATS. See Admiralty.	
TRANSCRIPT. See Jurisdiction, IV, 2; Procedure, 6-7.	
TRANSFERRED CAUSE. See Procedure, 15.	
TRANSPORTATION ACT. See Interstate Commerce Acts; Limitations, 3.	
TRUSTEE. See Mortgages.	

UNITED STATES. See Claims; Patents for Inventions, 7.	age
1. Corrupt Official Action. Right of United States to set aside leases of its reserved lands, and related contracts, obtained by dominating influence of officer corruptly procured, is independent of whether he was bribed and of financial loss to United States. Mammoth Oil Co. v. United States  2. Cancellation of Fraudulent and Unlawful Conveyance. Relief not conditioned, as in case of individual, upon restitution of consideration by United States. Id.  3. Reduced Telegraph Rates Under Post Roads Act apply to Emergency Fleet Corporation. Emergency Fleet Corporation v. Western Union.	
4. Id. Corporate Status of Fleet Corporation and its activities not inconsistent with being department of government within meaning of Post Roads Act. Id.	.10
WAGES. See Admiralty.	
WAIVER. See Criminal Law, 2; Taxation, I, 7.	
WATERS. See Boundaries.	

ADDITIONAL COPIES

OF THIS PUBLICATION MAY BE PROCURED FROM THE SUPERINTENDENT OF DOCUMENTS U.S.GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

WASHINGTON, D. C.

\$2.00 PER COPY

 $\nabla$